Case 3309

Rosacea Quoy & Gaimard, 1827: proposed conservation of usage (Cnidaria, Siphonophora); Desmophyes annectens Haeckel, 1888 and Rosacea plicata Bigelow, 1911: proposed conservation

Gillian M. Mapstone

Department of Zoology, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K. (e-mail: gillm@nhm.ac.uk)

Philip R. Pugh

Southampton Oceanography Centre, European Way, Southampton SO14 3ZH, U.K. (e-mail: prp@soc.soton.ac.uk)

Abstract. The purpose of this application is to conserve the names Desmophyes annectens Haeckel, 1888, under Article 23.9.3 of the Code, and Rosacea plicata Bigelow, 1911, under Article 23.9.5, for two widely distributed (but rare in the former case) species of calycophoran prayid siphonophores. D. annectens is a junior synonym of Rosacea plicata Quoy & Gaimard, 1827. Bigelow used the name R. plicata for a different taxon. The name R. plicata is in prevailing use for the species described by Bigelow and introduction of a new name for this species would cause nomenclatural confusion, as would use of the name R. plicata Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 for the species currently known as D. annectens. Conservation of the names D. annectens Haeckel, 1888 and R. plicata Bigelow, 1911 and of the usage of the generic name Rosacea Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 by the designation of R. plicata Bigelow, 1911 as the type species of Rosacea Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 is proposed.

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; Cnidaria; Siphonophora; PRAYIDAE; *Desmophyes; Rosacea; Desmophyes annectens; Rosacea plicata.*

^{1.} Quoy & Gaimard (1827, pp. 176–177, pl. 4B, figs. 2–4) introduced the generic name *Rosacea* for two new species of prayid siphonophores, *R. ceutensis* (p. 176) and *R. plicata* (p. 177), from the Strait of Gibraltar, which they briefly figured and described. *R. ceutensis* has not been positively identified since. The specific name *R. plicata* was used again as valid only by Schneider (1896, p. 632; 1898, p. 78; 1899, p. 22, figs. 18–23), by Kawamura in two taxonomic reviews of Japanese siphonophores (1915, p. 319, pl. 7, figs. 6–8; 1954, p. 102), and by Margulis (1994) in a recent revision of the genus *Rosacea*. A number of synonyms of *R. plicata* Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 have been recognised. These nominal species include *Diphyes brajae* Vogt, 1851 (p. 140, figs. 130–131), (invalid under Article 23.9.1, not used as valid since 1899 = nomen oblitum), *Praya diphyes* Kölliker, 1853 (p. 33, pl. 9), (non Blainville, 1834), *P. diphyes* Vogt, 1854 (p. 99, pls. 16, figs. 1–2, pl. 17), (non Blainville, 1834), and *P. filiformis* Keferstein & Ehlers, 1860 (p. 260), 1861 (p. 20, pl. 5, figs. 8–11), (invalid =

Rhizophysa filiformis Chiaje, 1829, a junior primary homonym of R. filiformis Forskål, 1775). The name P. diphyes auct. (non Blainville, 1834) has also been used for other prayid species.

- 2. Haeckel (1888a, p. 36) established the name Desmophyes for a genus with up to six swimming bells and included two nominal species without descriptions. Later the same year he established the species D. annectens with an extensive description and figures (Haeckel, 1888b, p. 170, pl. 30). Schneider (1896, p. 630) assigned Haeckel's species to the genus Praya. Chun (1897, p. 68, fig. 9) used the name D. annectens in a general review of siphonophore body plans, and reproduced Haeckel's figure (Haeckel, 1888b, pl. 30, fig. 1). D. annectens is a rare species and was not considered in detail again until Totton (1965, p. 128, pl. 22, figs. 4-6; pl. 24, figs. 1-9) included it in his monograph on siphonophores. He indirectly recognised that D. annectens was the same taxon as Rosacea plicata Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 by including the name as 'R. plicata: Kawamura, 1915' in his synonymy and reproducing Kawamura's figures (Kawamura, 1915, pl. 7, figs. 6-8) of R. plicata Quoy & Gaimard (Totton, 1965, pl. 22, figs. 4-6). However, the name D. annectens has been used consistently for this species since 1965 (see Pugh, 1974, p. 39; Kirkpatrick & Pugh, 1984, p. 62; Margulis, 1987, p. 25; Pugh & Harbison, 1987, p. 86; Dallot et al., 1988, p. 197; Gibbons & Thibault-Botha, 2002, p. 803; and 17 additional references held by the Commission Secretariat).
- 3. Bigelow (1911a, pp. 341–343) identified material he collected in the Bay of Biscay as *Rosacea plicata* Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 and in the same year published an extensive description of similar material together with three excellent figures of specimens from the tropical east Pacific (Bigelow, 1911b, pp. 201–203, pl. 2, figs. 7–9). These figures have been used by many workers to identify this species. Bigelow's species is different from that of Quoy & Gaimard because the somatocyst is arranged differently, as pointed out by Totton (1965, p. 115) and Pugh & Harbison (1987, p. 86), and it is a common and well known prayid species (see Bigelow & Sears, 1937, p. 11; Totton, 1954, p. 88; 1965, p. 116; Stepanjants, 1967, p. 145; Daniel, 1974, p. 84; Xu & Zhang, 1978, p. 36; Alvariño, 1981, p. 401; Kirkpatrick & Pugh, 1984, p. 54; Pagès & Gili, 1992, p. 76; Pugh, 1999, p. 486; Gao et al., 2002, p. 86). To avoid future confusion, Totton (1965, p. 116) referred to Bigelow's species as 'R. plicata sensu Bigelow, 1911' and treated it as a new species. However, the name is invalid, under Article 57.2 of the Code, as a junior primary homonym of R. plicata Quoy & Gaimard, 1827.
- 4. Pugh & Harbison (1987) reviewed all genera and species in the prayid subfamily PRAYINAE, noting (p. 86) that the original specimen (holotype) of *Rosacea plicata* Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 was no longer in existence, and therefore designated as the neotype a pair of nectophores from material described by Bigelow (1911a) from the Bay of Biscay. However, the specimens from which Pugh & Harbison (1987) designated their neotype are here recognised as syntypes and the neotype should now be identified as the lectotype of a then new nominal species *Rosacea plicata* Bigelow, 1911 (non Quoy & Gaimard, 1827). The lectotype is a pair of nectophores described by Bigelow (1911a) and held in the collections of The Natural History Museum, London, as BMNH Reg. No. 1939.6.10.1. The syntypes were collected by *H.M.S. Research* on 25-vii-1900 at 47°03'N, 7°55'W, from 300–0 fm (see Pugh & Harbison, 1987, p. 87).

- 5. Margulis (1994) revised the genus *Rosacea* basing her revision on specimens from her own South Pacific collections and from North Atlantic specimens loaned to her by P.R. Pugh. Contrary to prevailing usage Margulis synonymised *Desmophyes annectens* with *Rosacea plicata* Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 and moved *D. villafrancae* (Carré, 1969) and *D. haematogaster* Pugh, 1992 into the genus *Rosacea*. She created a new genus, *Neorosacea*, for *Rosacea plicata* 'sensu Bigelow 1911', and renamed the latter species *N. bigelowi*. This necessitated the transfer of four species from the genus *Rosacea* into the genus *Neorosacea* including *R. cymbiformis* (Chiaje, 1830), *R. flaccida* Biggs, Pugh & Carré, 1978, *R. limbata* Pugh & Youngbluth, 1988 and *R. repanda* Pugh & Youngbluth, 1988. Pugh (1999) rejected this revision in his review of South Atlantic siphonophores, and later added a new species *R. arabiana* to the genus *Rosacea* (Pugh, 2002, p. 171).
- 6. The names Rosacea plicata Bigelow, 1911 and Desmophyes annectens Haeckel, 1888 for the two species are well established in the literature (see paras. 2 and 3 above). Stability would not be maintained by adopting the changes introduced by Margulis (1994). Therefore, in the interests of nomenclatural stability we propose that Rosacea plicata Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 is suppressed for both the Principle of Priority and the Principle of Homonymy and that Rosacea plicata Bigelow, 1911 is designated as the type species of Rosacea Quoy & Gaimard, 1827.
- 7. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:
 - (1) to use its plenary power:
 - (a) to suppress the name *plicata* Quoy & Gaimard, 1827, as published in the binomen *Rosacea plicata*, for the purposes of both the Principle of Priority and the Principle of Homonymy;
 - (b) to set aside all previous fixations of type species for the nominal genus *Rosacea* Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 and to designate *Rosacea plicata* Bigelow, 1911 as the type species;
 - (2) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology the following names:
 - (a) *Desmophyes* Haeckel, 1888 (gender: feminine), type species by monotypy *Desmophyes annectens* Haeckel, 1888;
 - (b) Rosacea Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 (gender: feminine), type species by designation in (1)(b) above Rosacea plicata Bigelow, 1911;
 - (3) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the following names:
 - (a) annectens, Haeckel, 1888, as published in the binomen Desmophyes annectens (specific name of the type species of Desmophyes Haeckel, 1888);
 - (b) plicata, Bigelow, 1911, as published in the binomen Rosacea plicata and defined by the lectotype cited in para. 4 above (specific name of the type species of Rosacea Quoy & Gaimard, 1827);
 - (4) to place on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology the name *plicata* Quoy & Gaimard, 1827 as published in the binomen *Rosacea plicata* and as suppressed in (1)(a) above.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Mrs Solene Morris of the ICZN Secretariat for many helpful comments on this case.

References

Alvariño, A. 1981. Siphonophorae. Pp. 383–441 in Boltovsky, D. (Ed.), Atlas del Zooplancton del Atlántico Sudoccidental y métodos de trabajo con zooplancton marino. 939 pp. Publicación del Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarollo Pesquero (INIDEP). Ministerio de Comercio e Intereses Marítimos, Subsecretaría de Intereses Marítimos, República Argentina.

Bigelow, **H.B.** 1911a. Biscayan plankton collected during a cruise of *H.M.S. Research*, 1900. Part 13. The Siphonophora. *Transactions of the Linnean Society of London*, Series 2,

Zoology, 10(10): 337-358.

Bigelow, H.B. 1911b. Reports on the scientific results of the expedition to the eastern tropical Pacific, in charge of Alexander Agassiz, by the U.S. Fish Commission Steamer *Albatross*, from October, 1904, to March, 1905, Lieut.-Commander L.M. Garrett, U.S.N., commanding. Number 23. The Siphonophorae. *Memoirs of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College*, 38(2): 171–401.

Bigelow, H.B. & Sears, M. 1937. Siphonophorae. Report on the Danish oceanographical expeditions 1908–10 to the Mediterranean and adjacent seas. Vol. 2, Biology, no. 11. 144

pp. Horst & Son, Copenhagen.

Blainville, H.M.D. de. 1834. *Manuel d'actinologie ou de Zoophytologie*. 694 pp., 100 pls. Levrault, Paris.

Chun, C. 1897. Über den Bau und die morphologische Auffassung der Siphonophoren. Verhandlungen der Deutschen Zoologischen Gesellschaft, 7: 48–111.

Dallot, S., Goy, J. & Carré, C. 1988. Peuplements de carnivores planctoniques gélatineux et structures productives en Méditerranée occidentale. *Oceanological Acta*, Numéro Especial. Pp. 193–209. Elsevier, Paris.

Daniel, R. 1974. Siphonophora from the Indian Ocean. Memoirs of the Zoological Survey of

India, 15(4): 1-242.

Gao, S., Hong, H. & Zhang, S. 2002. Phylum Cnidaria; Class Hydrozoa, Subclass Siphonophorae; Class Scyphomedusae. *Fauna Sinica: Invertebrata*, vol. 27. 275 pp. Science Press, Beijing. [In Chinese with English abstract].

Gibbons, M.J. & Thibault-Botha, D. 2002. The match between ocean circulation and zoogeography of epipelagic siphonophores around southern Africa. *Journal of the Marine*

Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 82(5): 801–810.

Haeckel, E. 1888a. System der Siphonophoren auf phylogenetischer Grundlage entworfen.

Jenaische Zeitschrift für Naturwissenschaft, 22: 1-46.

Haeckel, E. 1888b. Report on the Siphonophorae collected by *H.M.S. Challenger* during the years 1873–1876. *Report on the scientific results of the voyage of* H.M.S. Challenger *during the years 1873–76.* Zoology, **28**: 1–380.

Kawamura, T. 1915. Calycophorae III. Dobutsugaku Zhasshi. Zoological Magazine, Tokyo

Zoological Society, 27: 317–324. [In Japanese].

Kawamura, T. 1954. A report on Japanese siphonophores with special reference to new and rare species. *Journal of the Shiga Prefectural Junior College*, Series A, 2(4): 99–129.

Keferstein, W. & Ehlers, E. 1860. Auszug aus den Beobachtungen über die Siphonophoren von Neapel und Messina angestellt in Winter 1859–60. Nachrichten von der Georg-Augusts-Universität und der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, 23: 254–262.

Keferstein, W. & Ehlers, E. 1861. Beobachtungen über die Siphonophoren von Neapel und Messina. Zoologische Beiträge gesammelt im Winter 1859/60 in Neapel und Messina. 34

pp., 5 pls. Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig.

Kirkpatrick, P.A. & Pugh, P.R. 1984. Siphonophores and velellids. Number 29 in Kermack, D.M. & Barnes, R.S.K. (Eds.), Synopses of the British Fauna (New Series). 154 pp. E.J. Brill, Leiden.

Kölliker, A. 1853. Die Schwimmpolypen oder Siphonophoren von Messina. 96 pp., 12 pls.

Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig.

Margulis, R. Ya. 1987. Siphonophora of Southern Pacific (Coelenterata, Hydrozoa, Siphonophora). *Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta*, Seriya 16. Biologiya, 2: 24–28. [In Russian with English summary].

- Margulis, R. Ya. 1994. Revision of the genus *Rosacea* (Cnidaria, Siphonophora, Calycophorae, Prayidae, Prayinae). *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal*, 73(11): 15–28. (English translation in *Hydrobiological Journal*, 31(7): 33–50, 1995).
- Pagès, F. & Gili, J.-M. 1992. Siphonophores (Cnidaria, Hydrozoa) of the Benguela Current (southeastern Atlantic). *Scientia Marina*, **56** (Supplement 1): 65–112.
- Pugh, P.R. 1974. The vertical distribution of the siphonophores collected during the SOND Cruise, 1965. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **54**(1): 25–90.
- Pugh, P.R. 1992. *Desmophyes haematogaster*, a new species of prayine siphonophore (Calycophorae, Prayidae). *Bulletin of Marine Science*, **50**(1): 89–96.
- Pugh, P.R. 1999. Siphonophorae. Pp. 467-511 in Boltovsky, D. (Ed.), South Atlantic Zooplankton, 1, 868 pp. Backhuys, Leiden.
- Pugh, P.R. 2002. A new species of *Rosacea* (Siphonophora: Calycophorae: Prayidae) from the Gulf of Oman. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 82(1): 171–172.
- Pugh, P.R. & Harbison, G.R. 1987. Three new species of prayine siphonophore (Calycophorae, Prayidae) collected by a submersible, with notes on related species. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 41(1): 68–91.
- Quoy, J.R.C. & Gaimard, J.P. 1827. Observations zoologiques faites à bord de l'Astrolabe, en mai 1826, dans le détroit de Gibraltar. Annales des Sciences Naturelles (Series 1), 10: 1–21, 172–193, Atlas, pls. 1–2, 4–9.
- Schneider, K.C. 1896. Mittheilungen über Siphonophoren, 2. Grundriss der Organisation der Siphonophoren. Zoologische Jahrbücher. Abtheilung für Anatomie und Ontogenie der Thiere, 9: 571–664.
- Schneider, K.C. 1898. Mittheilungen über Siphonophoren, 3. Systematische und andere Bemerkungen. Zoologischer Anzeiger, 21: 51–57, 73–97, 114–133, 153–173, 185–200.
- Schneider, K.C. 1899. Mittheilungen über Siphonophoren, 4. Nesselknöpfe. Arbeiten aus dem Zoologischen Instituten der Universität Wien und der Zoologischen Station in Triest, 11(2): 65–116.
- Stepanjants, S.D. 1967. Siphonophores of the seas of the USSR and the north western part of the Pacific Ocean. *Opredeliteli po Faune SSSR*, 96: 1–216. [In Russian].
- **Totton, A.K.** 1954. Siphonophora of the Indian Ocean together with systematic and biological notes on related specimens from other oceans. *Discovery Reports*, 27: 1–162.
- **Totton, A.K.** 1965. A Synopsis of the Siphonophora. 230 pp., 40 pls. British Museum (Natural History), London.
- Vogt, C. 1851. Klasse der Röhrenquallen (Siphonophora). Pp. 138–141 in Zoologische Briefe: Naturgeschichte der lebenden und untergegangenen Thiere für Lehrer, für höhere Schulen und Gebildete aller Stände, vol. 1. Frankfurt.
- Vogt, C. 1854. Recherches sur les animaux inférieurs de la Méditerranée. 1. Sur les Siphonophores de la mer de Nice. *Mémoires de l'Institut National Genevois*, 1: 1–164.
- Xu, Z. & Zhang, J. 1978. On the Hydromedusae, Siphonophores and Scyphomedusae from the coast of the East Guangdong Province, and South Fujian Province, China. *Journal of Xiamen University (Natural Sciences) (Universitatis Amoiensis Acta Scientiarum Naturalium*), 17(4): 19–63. [In Chinese with English summary].

Acknowledgement of receipt of this application was published in BZN 61: 77.

Comments on this case are invited for publication (subject to editing) in the *Bulletin*; they should be sent to the Executive Secretary, 1.C.Z.N., The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K. (e-mail: iczn@nhm.ac.uk).